IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

FIRST APPEAL No. 1380 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

THAKOR NAGJI VARVAJI - Appellant
Versus
SPL.LAQ OFFICER & 1 -Respondents

==========

Appearance :
MR AJ PATEL for Appellant
MR JASWANT K.SHAH, AGP, for respondents

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

Date : 30/03/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. The grievance made by the claimant in the instant appeal filed under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (“the Act” for short) read with

Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is that he should have been awarded compensation at the rate of Rs.50/- per sq.mt. for his acquired lands and not at the rate of Rs.48/- per sq.mt. by the Reference Court and that interest on the amount awarded under Section 23(2) and 23(1-A) of the Act should also have been awarded to him.

2. This Court has heard Mr.A.J.Patel, learned counsel for the claimant and Mr.Jaswant K.Shah, learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. From the record of the case, it is evident that the land of the appellant situated at village Serisha, Taluka Kalol, District Mehsana was acquired for the public purpose pursuant to publication of Notification issued under Section 4(1) of the Act in the Official Gazette on September 22, 1992. The Land Acquisition Officer had awarded compensation to the claimant at the rate of Rs.3.75 Ps.per sq.mt. by his award dated November 24, 1994. Feeling aggrieved, reference was sought and the Reference Court awarded additional amount of compensation at the rate of

Rs.44.25 Ps. per sq.mt. i.e. Rs.48/- per sq.mt. in all. The grievance of the claimant is that he should have been awarded compensation at the rate of Rs.50/- per sq.mt. and also should have been granted interest on the sums awarded under Section 23(2) and 23(1-A) of the Act.

4. Mr.A.J.Patel, learned counsel, on the instructions of the appellant states at the Bar that the claim made by the appellant that he should have been awarded compensation at the rate of Rs.50/- per sq.mt. i.e. additional compensation at the rate of Rs.2/-, over and above awarded by the Reference Court, is not pressed. As the said claim is not pressed, the same is liable to be dismissed as not pressed.

5. As far as, interest on the amounts awarded under Section 23(2) and 23(1-A) of the Act is concerned, this Court finds that the five Judge Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court has ruled in Sunder v. Union of India, 2001(3) G.L.H.446 that the person entitled to the compensation awarded is also entitled to get interest on the aggregate amount, including solatium. Under the circumstances, this Court is of

the opinion that the appeal deserves to be partly allowed by holding that the appellant is entitled to interest on the amounts awarded to him under Section 23(2) and 23(1-A) of the Act.

For the foregoing reasons, the appeal partly succeeds. The claim advanced by the appellant that he should have been awarded in all compensation at the rate of Rs.50/- per sq.mt., instead of Rs.48/- per sq.mt., by the Reference Court is rejected as not pressed. It is held that the appellant would be entitled to interest on the amounts awarded under Section 23(2) and 23(1-A) of the Act. The appeal stands allowed to the extent indicated herein-above. There shall be no orders as to costs. The Registry is directed to draw decree in terms of this judgment immediately.

(J.M.Panchal,J)

(patel)